UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,509	03/11/2004	Samuel A. Massey	200312726-1	6401
22879 HEWLETT P <i>A</i>	7590 07/30/2007 CKARD COMPANY		EXAM	INER
P O BOX 2724	00, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD		NGUYEN, LAMSON D	
	AL PROPERTY ADMINIS NS, CO 80527-2400	Y ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2861	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

_		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/798,509	MASSEY, SAMUEL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
7=		Lamson D. Nguyen	2861			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on election	ion dated 04/23/07				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-38,40-46 and 50-55</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-36,38,40-46 and 52 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-27,50-51,53-55 and 59</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
الــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the B	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •				
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>	•	ed in this National Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	* **	d.			
		The second of the second				
Attachmen	t(s)					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	асель дурновногі			

Application/Control Number: 10/798,509

Art Unit: 2861

# **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Invention I in the reply filed on 04/23/07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the combination does require the particulars of the sub-combination. The applicant argued that neither claim 1 nor claim 28 recite an edge integrally formed as a part of a single unitary body. This is not found persuasive because although claim 28 does not claim an edge integrally formed as a part of a single unitary body, claim 31 which depends on claim 28 claims this particular limitation. The applicant further argued that the examiner had indicated allowability for the dependent claims. That is correct, however upon reviewing the claims as a whole, the examiner has arrived to the conclusion that the case is not in condition for allowance, hence has decided to withdraw the allowability of those claims.

Furthermore, it is within USPTO's practice to perform a restriction anytime before a final rejection, as stated in Manual of Patent Examining Procedure.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 10-28, 38-46 is withdrawn as presented in the below rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Page 2

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9, 11-27, 50-51, and 53-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- In claim 1, there is not relationship between "a structure" and a printhead....". Specically, it is not clear how all those limitations of the structure are relative or are connected to the printhead.
- In claim 2, there is no relationship between an ink recipient and a structure and a printhead. It is unclear as to how the ink recipient is connected to the printhead and the structure.
- In claim 7, the "first edge" lacks antecedent basis.
- In claim 21, there's no relationship between the ink receiving cavity and the printhead and the structure.
- In claims 53-55, there's no relationship between the structure and the
   printhead. It is not clear how the structure is connected to the printhead.
- Claims depending on claims 1, 2, 7, 21, and 53-55 are also rejected.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, 11-27, 50-51, and 53-55 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lamson D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2259. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Luu can be reached on 571-272-7763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

\*\*\*

TAMSON NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER